

Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
v.)
GAMAL ABDELAZIZ and)
ROBERT ZANGRILLO,)
Defendants.)
)
)

Criminal Action
No. 19-10080-NMG

BEFORE THE HONORABLE M. PAGE KELLEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

HEARING

February 28, 2020

John J. Moakley United States Courthouse
Courtroom No. 24
One Courthouse Way
Boston, Massachusetts 02210

Digitally recorded and
transcribed stenographically by:
Kelly Mortellite, RMR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
One Courthouse Way, Room 3200
Boston, Massachusetts 02210
mortellite@gmail.com

1 APPEARANCES:

2 On Behalf of the Government:
3 Leslie Wright
4 Kristen A. Kearney
United States Attorney's Office MA
1 Courthouse Way
Suite 9200
5 Boston, MA 02210
6 617-748-3204
leslie.wright@usdoj.gov
kristen.kearney@usdoj.gov

7 On Behalf of the Defendant Gamal Abdelaziz:
8 Brian T. Kelly
Joshua C.H. Sharp
9 Lauren Maynard
Nixon Peabody LLP
10 Exchange Place
53 State Street
11 Boston, MA 02109-2835
617-345-1135
12 bkelly@nixonpeabody.com
jsharp@nixonpeabody.com
13 lmaynard@nixonpeabody.com

14 On Behalf of the Defendant Robert Zangrillo:
(Via teleconference)
15 Martin G. Weinberg
Martin G. Weinberg, PC
16 20 Park Plaza
Boston, MA 02116
17 617-227-3700
owlmcb@att.net

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 COURTROOM CLERK: Case Number 19-10080, United States
3 v. Gamal Abdelaziz and Robert Zangrillo. The Honorable M. Page
4 Kelley presiding. Would counsel please identify themselves for
5 the record.

6 MS. WRIGHT: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Leslie
7 Wright and Kristen Kearney for the government.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.

9 MR. KELLY: Sure, good afternoon. Brian Kelly and
08:04 10 Josh Sharp and Lauren Maynard for Mr. Abdelaziz.

11 THE COURT: Hi. Good afternoon.

12 MR. WEINBERG: And good afternoon. Martin Weinberg by
13 phone. Good afternoon, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon. So I'll just note
15 that this was a very impromptu hearing, and I really appreciate
16 everyone appearing on very short notice.

17 MR. KELLY: Yes. Just for the record, You Honor, I
18 note that, despite my foot boot, I was able to get here quicker
19 than Mr. Weinberg apparently.

08:04 20 THE COURT: All right. Okay. So given Judge Gorton's
21 setting a schedule that is very truncated for the filing of
22 motions pertaining to the most recent iPhone notes of
23 Mr. Singer, I wanted to move quickly on the -- all of the
24 various motions for discovery, of which there are many, that
25 are outstanding in the case.

1 And I originally, just to be totally transparent, had
2 thought that I would take my time and write something on those
3 motions, but it does seem to me that if there are some
4 materials that could be produced quickly, it would be better
5 than having the defendants get those materials piecemeal and
6 file a succession of motions to dismiss based on Brady.

7 And in the interest of saving everyone a lot of time
8 and trouble, I thought I would have everyone in next Monday and
9 we would try to sort through what we could just as a measure --
08:06 10 just as a way of getting materials to the defendants that I was
11 going to order them to be provided with anyway prior to the
12 filing dates so that this doesn't end up being a long, long
13 process.

14 I know now also we have pretty short trial dates
15 looming, and I don't -- so I think some of this material is
16 going to go out anyway, and I don't really think it's to
17 anyone's advantage to spend all of their time fighting back and
18 forth about these motions about discovery when perhaps the
19 simplest thing is just to get the discovery.

08:06 20 So I think then we heard from the government Monday is
21 not a good day for various reasons, and so I'm concerned that
22 the longer we take for my decision, the shorter the time will
23 be for the government either to provide people with stuff or
24 for the defendants to absorb it.

25 And I will just tell you I certainly cannot speak for

1 Judge Gorton, but he is pretty firm on his dates. So if, for
2 example, I were to order the government to produce 302s to the
3 defendants and it took you a while and they wanted more time
4 then to file those motions, I'm not sure they would get it. So
5 I'd rather do this on -- I mean, I've certainly read all the
6 motions, although some of them are not ripe yet so I haven't
7 read all of them. It's been kind of a nonstop thing.

8 So, Mr. Abdelaziz's motion is the first one that was
9 filed and has been pending the longest, and it's absolutely
08:08 10 ripe and it's actually pretty narrow. So I just wondered if
11 the government had anything to say about this generally, and
12 then maybe we'd talk a little bit about their motion.

13 MS. WRIGHT: Sure. Your Honor, and we agree and we
14 understand your concerns. Now that we have set trial dates,
15 our plan definitely was to sit down internally and figure out a
16 plan for production of all remaining discovery, including Jones
17 Act material, Rick Singer's 302 included. We would just --
18 just the timing of setting something for Monday is a little
19 tricky on our end logistically because two of our team members
08:08 20 are currently out of town traveling, and one of our team
21 members had a death in his immediate family. So we would just
22 ask for a little bit more time for us to sit down, tick through
23 all the remaining buckets of discovery and come up with a
24 sensible plan for getting that out as quickly as possible, and
25 hopefully that would then substantially narrow the issues that

1 Your Honor would have to decide with respect to the motions to
2 compel.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Yes. Mr. Kelly is getting ready to
4 stand up.

5 MR. KELLY: Yes, Your Honor. Well, okay. We're not
6 just concerned with Jencks here. I mean, I know there's always
7 back and forth about when Jencks is producible, et cetera.

8 Our view is that Brady material has been withheld to
9 us contrary to the local rules, the Constitution, and the court
08:09 10 well knows all the case law. And so our request is relatively
11 discrete from the outset. And, you know, we're just looking
12 for -- actually, it's just not FBI 302, but I think it would
13 also be IRS reports as well, about the various representations
14 that were made about the so-called side deal that Singer had
15 with Heinel about the side door. And that occurred well after
16 our client's daughter got into the school. He knew nothing
17 about this side deal that Singer had.

18 We just want those reports because it's Brady with
19 respect to my client, as is any communication that Singer had
08:10 20 with my client about this being a donation to a school rather
21 than a bribe to an individual. We view that as core Brady.
22 The government says not Brady. So that's I think the
23 fundamental tension here, and we would want those materials
24 because we think we're required to get them.

25 Now, if somebody's family member has died and that

1 impacts the process, I'm not crazy, I'm not going to say that
2 shouldn't be considered by the court. Nor am I, you know,
3 suggesting we should get some unfair advantage with the
4 government. The fact is we've been asking for this for a long
5 time. The fact is the Brady rules apply to them in this case
6 as it does in every case, and the fact is it hasn't been
7 produced. So we would respectfully suggest whatever plan they
8 come up with with respect to Jencks, it should be expedited and
9 that Brady should have been produced not just yesterday but
08:11 10 weeks ago.

11 MS. WRIGHT: If I could just respond briefly. And I
12 raised Rick Singer's 302s as an example. We intend to, like I
13 said, tick through every remaining box of discovery, figure out
14 a plan for producing it all. Clearly we have some disputes
15 about what constitutes Brady and what doesn't, but our plan is
16 that now we have a trial date to come up with a quick timeline
17 for getting all our remaining discovery out to all the
18 defendants.

19 THE COURT: Great. Okay.

08:11 20 MR. WEINBERG: Excuse me, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Yes.

22 MR. WEINBERG: There are two other matters, you know,
23 and I exchanged a ten-paragraph request for information
24 specific to Mr. Zangrillo, including, you know, what did
25 Mr. Singer tell him about donations going to U.S.C. and did

1 Mr. Singer in fact talk to a coach that Mr. Singer claimed he
2 talked to on a tape. The government answer, which, you know,
3 preliminary satisfied me is, one, we may not agree with you as
4 to whether all that you ask for is Brady, but you have
5 everything, document, information, et cetera, that we have
6 that's related to any of the ten paragraphs, and you will get
7 it if any new information is developed. So I can't quarrel,
8 you know, with that kind of blanket representation that the
9 government is no longer, as to Mr. Zangrillo, following with
08:13 10 where is the line between inculpatory/exculpatory.

11 The government's got its view that even if a parent is
12 told the money is going to the school for a worthwhile program
13 and the parents think it's a donation that the government feels
14 that it helps the kid or guarantees the kid gets in, the
15 government's got its theory that's a crime. We have our theory
16 that that's the legitimate donation that's close to the
17 heartland of what universities do. But I was satisfied that
18 representations made by the prosecution team satisfied me that,
19 as of the date they were made, they gave me everything that
08:14 20 related to the ten paragraphs and would continue to supplement
21 regardless of our differing views on where the line between
22 exculpatory and inculpatory lies.

23 Quite frankly, it's hard for me to -- you know, it's
24 not plausible to me that Mr. Singer hadn't been asked about
25 some of these subjects. Did you talk to a rowing coach as you

1 claimed to Mr. Zangrillo? Didn't you tell Mr. Zangrillo where
2 the monies that he paid to Key were destined to go?

3 But I also understand that it's not a perfect world
4 and the prosecution has lots of different cases, and when they
5 tell me, you know, point blank, unconditionally that I've got
6 everything, I expect that, and I have to believe that they are
7 going to give me everything that relates to the ten paragraphs
8 of the motion.

9 And I joined the other defendant's motions, and we
08:15 10 have a separate motion, and I know Mr. -- Mr. Kelly filed the
11 supplement to that dealing with consensual recordings. And I
12 haven't heard, whether it's Ms. Wright or Ms. Kearney, address
13 whether in the government discussions about the subject --
14 productions, whether they intend to moot that motion which
15 asked for all of the non-produced consensual. But I know we're
16 very interested in that in light of the Singer iPhone notes and
17 particularly interested in the consensual recordings because
18 they were being automatically recorded by Singer's phone when
19 he spoke to government agents, when he spoke to other parents,
08:16 20 the entire range of calls on his phone while he was working for
21 the government and while he at least subjectively believed that
22 the government was yelling at him, certainly making him
23 promises, and he was certainly highly motivated during this
24 entire time period to be as valuable a witness as he could.

25 So we believe that that motion, unless the government

1 is going to agree to produce all of the consensuals that began
2 when he began his cooperation and presumably ended upon the
3 disclosure of the indictment shortly after March 5, that motion
4 remains viable and remains very important to us and even more
5 important in light of the most recent production of exculpatory
6 evidence in Singer's notes.

7 MS. WRIGHT: We do plan to produce all non-pertinent
8 consensual recordings.

9 MR. KELLY: Okay. And not to put too fine a point on
08:17 10 it, but we just filed this yesterday, so I'm sure the court has
11 had a blizzard of motions. But we are -- we also are very
12 interested in the consensually recorded that may have been
13 designated not pertinent, the handwritten notes that were
14 released recently by Singer, where he talked about having a
15 loud, abrasive call with an agent. And we think it's an IRS
16 agent. We think that tape exists. We don't have it. We think
17 it's core Brady if this agent is telling him to lie about what
18 he actually believes, and that's the inference we're drawing.

19 Now, if that's one of the tapes that is -- they have
08:18 20 and they haven't produced it, we do in fact want it. But we
21 get back to our original request, and when we asked for that,
22 we also asked, again, as yesterday's motion is probably
23 premature to reference the court, but there is a lot of
24 iMessages that have been deleted. And we have asked for that,
25 and we attached that as an exhibit to our motion yesterday as

1 the type of things we're looking for from Singer's phone. It
2 looks like all this stuff has been deleted.

3 So if they have it, we want it, and we think it is
4 Brady, and we would say that, at least with respect to our
5 motion, it's not moot because we haven't got the reports on the
6 two issues that we initially flagged, i.e., what do they have
7 about this side deal on the so-called side door between Singer
8 and Heinel. Because it happened months after my client's kid
9 got into the school, so he could not have known about it.

08:19 10 And secondly, what do they have between communications
11 and our client about this being a donation to a school, not a
12 person, because that's where we think it affects his mental
13 intent, therefore it reflects his innocence, and it is Brady.
14 So whatever the logistical hurdles are, the rules are the
15 rules. They get applied very stringently to our clients, and
16 they get applied stringently to the defense. It should also be
17 applied to the government, that if it's Brady, we should have
18 had it months ago.

19 THE COURT: Okay. So --

08:19 20 MR. WEINBERG: If I can -- if I can add just one
21 thing.

22 THE COURT: Yes, go right ahead.

23 MR. WEINBERG: We do, really do urge the government
24 to, you know, with a witness like Singer, get to the bottom of
25 what he remembers saying to our clients because much of what he

1 said was before the Title IIIs issued by Judge Burroughs.

2 Again, our clients take the position -- and I'd have to waive
3 the attorney-client privilege to say exactly why -- that they
4 understood that the funds that they were providing either
5 directly to the school or to the school through Singer were
6 going to programs that needed funds, programs approved by
7 U.S.C.

8 And the notes that were recently produced seem to
9 reinforce the view that Singer talked to them and bring his
08:20 10 financial request in terms of donations, goes to, you know, two
11 or three different essential elements in this case. One is,
12 objectively was there a donation in good faith that's
13 non-fraudulent and not a bribe or was there not, and what did
14 the defendants believe. Did they have intent? What did
15 Singer, who they trusted, put in her heads as the justification
16 for seeking funds and seeking payments to the school?

17 These are primary issues and we really ought to, at
18 this point with a trial date and the case has now been indicted
19 for, you know, about 11 months, know exactly what Singer is
08:21 20 telling the government about what he told our clients about
21 what the purpose of the payments were. And that's why we've
22 pushed these Brady motions so hard, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Yes.

24 MS. WRIGHT: If I just may really briefly, Your Honor.

25 First of all, we agree that now we have a trial date, we are

1 going to produce the 302s. But we have provided defense
2 counsel with summaries of Singer's statements on the exact
3 topics that defense counsel is now addressing.

4 Just two brief things. First, the phone, we're going
5 to provide a full extract of Rick Singer's iPhone in very short
6 order, so I hope that that would address the concerns raised by
7 Mr. Kelly. The only other thing I'll say is that with respect
8 to the non-pertinent consensual recordings, my understanding,
9 and I need to verify this, but that would not include
08:22 10 discussions between Rick Singer and government agents.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. KELLY: Well --

13 THE COURT: So let me just say a couple of things.
14 First of all, you know, I have read all the motions, at least
15 the ones that are ripe, of which there are many. We had to do
16 a long -- a big chart of them recently. But I don't think the
17 sum and substance summaries are sufficient. And one of the
18 reasons it's taken me so long to work through these motions is
19 I've been looking through the ex parte materials I have to try
08:23 20 to pinpoint precisely where the sum and substance comments are
21 coming from.

22 But I will just say this by way of illustration. With
23 regard to Mr. Abdelaziz, the 302s of Rick Singer, especially if
24 you look at FD-1023 -- and obviously the defendants don't have
25 this, but the government will know what I'm talking about --

1 page 1 of 5, it's dated 11/9/2018, on the second page there is
2 a paragraph that kind of talks -- well, it talks about when
3 Heinel specifically was corrupted by Rick Singer. And if you
4 just look at the paragraph that begins with the sentence, "At
5 the beginning, Heinel was not personally taking her share of
6 the money," the suggestion there is that she wasn't being
7 bribed at first in her relationship with him. If she's taking
8 money for an account over which she has control, which, I know
9 the government says that's a bribe, you still have to prove
08:24 10 that the school was not in on that.

11 And I know that the government -- I've also reviewed
12 the 302s with U.S.C., and I know that the higher-ups are
13 saying, "We never did that," and the lower-downs are saying,
14 "We might have." So I think it's -- and I'll just tell you
15 from other material I've seen ex parte in the case, it is a
16 viable assertion that U.S.C. had a practice of its admissions,
17 of its athletics department admitting kids in exchange for
18 donations who were -- as athletic walk-ons. And there's an
19 email that I think has been widely circulated where a parent
08:25 20 asks an administrator, "What about the preferred walk-on spot?
21 Could my daughter have that?" So that's something that people
22 were being told was a possibility to get their kids in.

23 So if that is a viable line of defense, then when
24 precisely Heinel agreed with Singer to start taking money
25 personally is highly relevant because you're going to say, Oh,

1 it's a bribe legally if she has money going into accounts she
2 controlled. That's going to be something for the jury to
3 decide. It's not de facto a bribe. The school has to be
4 ignorant of that, and one might well ask, How could the school
5 have been ignorant of that? Like, how did admissions work?

6 So I think it's going to be very important to
7 demonstrate or to -- excuse me -- to produce the 302s that
8 explain precisely when Heinel started taking money personally.
9 And the interesting thing here is, in this 302 I'm looking at,
08:28 10 there is -- actually, I think it's a typo, it says, "In late
11 fall or spring 2017." I've never heard anyone use that phrase,
12 the late fall or spring of one year. So I don't know if that
13 means late fall of 2016 or spring 2017, but it just seems like
14 a very blurry, odd way of pinning someone down on the time.

15 And frankly, the fact that in this 302 the government
16 isn't trying to pin him down on the time and ask him any
17 further questions about it I think is exculpatory. Because if
18 you can't prove that Heinel was corrupted when she was just
19 taking money for the accounts at the school, then this would be
08:30 20 your fallback, and you're -- it's very, very vague here. So I
21 think Abdelaziz should get what he's asking for in this motion.
22 I think it's relevant, and it's exculpatory.

23 I mean, you -- I just think the government is kind of
24 stuck on this theory that, if the money is going into an
25 account for the school in exchange for Heinel advocating for a

1 student, then the defendants are guilty.

2 Number one, you do have to show they knew that was
3 happening; and, number two, you have to show the school wasn't
4 okay with that. Right?

5 MS. WRIGHT: Yeah, yes, Your Honor. And we intend to
6 prove that. I will just note, as Your Honor mentioned, clearly
7 our theory is that the payments to the programs that Heinel was
8 accepting without letting admissions know that she was doing
9 that in exchange for not only advocating but essentially
08:31 10 insuring these kids' admission to the university, that these
11 are bribes.

12 But I just also note with respect to the timing, when
13 she started to take money personally, what Mr. Singer had to
14 say about that is not the only source of evidence on that
15 point, and there are bank records that make it very clear when
16 she started to accept money personally, and the defendants have
17 all of that information.

18 THE COURT: So you're showing -- you're saying that
19 when a bank -- when she starts getting the \$20,000 a month,
08:31 20 that's exactly when her agreement with Singer was solidified.

21 MS. WRIGHT: The agreement for her to start taking
22 money personally?

23 THE COURT: So I think you're also overlooking the
24 possibility that Singer didn't understand the admissions
25 process at U.S.C. So the fact that Singer thinks something's

1 amiss when he first starts working -- you know, when he first
2 starts working -- also you -- there's information here about
3 how Pat Haden is welcoming him as a fundraiser. What does that
4 mean?

5 So there's just -- also, I just find -- I started
6 going through here. I think there are other categories of
7 information, such as Singer's truthfulness, that are really
8 important because I think he was lying to a lot of the parents,
9 and I do think that's relevant. Some of them may have figured
08:33 10 that out. And whether he was telling someone the truth about
11 something or not I think, and whether he's a pathological liar,
12 is going to kind of permeate the whole case. And I think the
13 defendants are entitled to know if he's truthful.

14 Yes, Mr. Kelly.

15 MR. KELLY: We just had two things. First of all,
16 just because -- we understand the government has a somewhat
17 novel theory of a guilty bribe, but that doesn't mean it's not
18 Brady for our client's purposes. Like most people in America,
19 he's going to think a bribe is money going to a person, not a
08:33 20 donation to a school that he wants his kid to go to.

21 THE COURT: Sure. But if you look at Skilling and
22 they use that mayor example, you could take a bribe from
23 someone and actually enrich the school, and it could still be a
24 bribe. It's kind of like why I think Mr. Vandemoer was guilty.
25 Because he's taking money and admitting students sort of on the

1 side, even though the money is going to the program, the school
2 doesn't have its imprimatur on his running his own mini
3 admissions program.

4 MR. KELLY: Your Honor, my client has no idea who
5 Donna Heinel was.

6 THE COURT: Sure. No. I get that. So I think the
7 problem with the government's theories is -- it's not a
8 Vandemoer situation because you've got -- it's once removed
9 because you've got these parents paying Singer, who is paying
09:41 10 the coach or the administrator, and that makes things very
11 complicated as far as the parents' intent and what the parents
12 understand is going on.

13 MR. KELLY: Right. Money is given to schools all the
14 time, to the athletic department, particular school program.
15 And does it help kids get in? Yes. It's not a bribe. People
16 don't like it, but it happens all the time that money is given
17 to a school. Does that give people an advantage? Yes, but
18 that's not --

19 THE COURT: Sure.

09:43 20 MR. KELLY: -- a bribe in this context.

21 THE COURT: And I think, for example -- yeah --

22 MR. KELLY: And I think in Skilling, the mayor there
23 was getting money for himself. So the money for herself,
24 Heinel, doesn't occur until later, according to them, according
25 to the evidence. That's further reason why Skilling doesn't

1 save them with their theory.

2 THE COURT: Sure, but I think you can have a kind of
3 business or personal interest. It doesn't have to be money in
4 your pocket. It could be something less tangible that helps
5 your interests. So I do think, if the school doesn't know
6 about it and the parent does know about it, Donna Heinel's
7 allegedly taking money for an account at the school that she
8 has control over and it somehow burnishes her prestige or she
9 gets to direct it somewhere or other to her professional
09:44 10 benefit would be a bribe, but the parent has to know she's
11 doing that, and the school cannot be condoning it.

12 MR. KELLY: Okay. One more point, Your Honor. This
13 is a little off-topic, but it's a preview, and I want to give
14 the government a heads-up because we're going to ask for it and
15 maybe they can factor this into their ongoing or soon-to-be
16 productions, is that in light of Judge Sorokin's recent ruling
17 on his case involving 302s and how they've been changed with
18 interaction between the agent and the AUSAs, we're going to
19 seek any drafts of these 302s that may have been changed by
09:45 20 interactions with the agents and the AUSAs on the case.

21 So we think that based on Judge Sorokin -- I don't
22 know if that occurred here, but certainly Judge Sorokin's
23 opinion suggests that's what's going on. So not only do we
24 want the 302s and/or IRS reports, we want to know if those
25 things were altered to fit the charges here.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So --

2 MR. WEINBERG: Judge, if I heard the government
3 correctly, they're planning on producing the consensual call
4 tapes that had not previously been produced but are carving out
5 tapes between Singer and law enforcement. And I would just say
6 that I think at least some of the calls between Singer and law
7 enforcement are exculpatory and non-privileged, particularly
8 the ones between the start of this cooperation and October 2
9 when he was saying, Just had a call with agents and they're
09:46 10 asking me to tell a fib and essentially saying to say that they
11 want it to be a payment when I'm telling them it was a donation
12 to a program. And they say that one agent, at least, is urging
13 him to bend the truth, which is what they asked me not to do
14 when I was talking or working with other agents and Eric Rosen
15 and I assume the rest of the prosecutors.

16 And this is the cornerstone issue that goes to intent,
17 which is, Singer is saying it was a donation to programs, and
18 he says, Liz raised her voice. They want me to tell that Liz
19 had raised her voice to me like she did in the hotel room about
09:47 20 agreeing with her that everyone bribed the school.

21 And today we just talked about the cornerstone issue,
22 which is the government is calling a bribe what the defense
23 calls a donation to a program. And what the defense is saying,
24 Judge, they were told by Singer, We're going to give donations
25 to programs. And it goes to both the subjective element and

1 the underlying reality of what the payment was for.

2 And Your Honor has seen through the 17C litigation
3 that, to put it mildly, U.S.C. welcomed donations, and
4 admissions did not bar itself or wall itself off from being
5 affected by development and wanting donations. And it's -- you
6 know, I get the government's allegation that these payments are
7 not proper, but we should be receiving consensual calls during
8 this 9/21 or 9/22 to 10/2 period because, according to what
9 Singer wrote into the iPhone and what the government provided
09:49 10 to us, he is having a dispute with at least one of the agents
11 in being asked to adopt scripts in these consensual calls that
12 do not conform to what he, Singer, is saying to the government
13 he was telling the parents, which is these were donations to
14 programs and not bribes.

15 And so I get that the government does not want to
16 produce calls between their witness and government agents, but
17 I think, given Singer's notes that were produced the other day,
18 we can make a particularized showing that these consensual
19 calls with the government agents where they were discussing
09:50 20 these subjects are exculpatory and are producible.

21 THE COURT: Okay. So I think the takeaway here is,
22 from me, that sort of -- unfortunately, I know about
23 Mr. Frank's loss. I'm very sorry about that. But I do think
24 time is of the essence here. And secondly, I would really just
25 urge the government in this very high-profile case with a very

1 difficult key player, I don't know if he's going to be the
2 government's witness or not, but regardless, the cat is out of
3 the bag, and he's -- this is a very complicated situation and
4 it's ugly.

5 So I just -- I would really urge the government to be
6 as transparent as possible. This is not a time to hold your
7 cards close to your vest or hold back information or try to get
8 any kind of strategic advantage from the timing of discovery.
9 I would just disclose whatever you can bring yourself to at
09:51 10 this stage, but I would really be liberal about what I'm
11 disclosing because all that's going to happen is we're going to
12 have another very intense round of motions, whatever you don't
13 turn over. And I can just tell you, I'm inclined to release
14 materials, just given the atmospherics here and what's
15 happening.

16 So I think what I'm going to do is just give everyone
17 some breathing space. Why don't we take Monday, and I'll let
18 the government talk among themselves and talk to counsel, and
19 then maybe by the close of business Tuesday you can just give
09:52 20 Ms. Belmont an update, it doesn't have to be something formal,
21 but letting us know what is still in dispute. And then I
22 really don't want another big batch of filings. We don't
23 really have time for that. We'll just try to schedule a
24 hearing for later in the week. But I do think the government
25 should be geared up to get things out quickly because I think

1 that's the only way to deal with Judge Gorton's schedule and
2 then just let everyone get ready for trial. Yes?

3 MR. KELLY: One final point, and I agree Judge
4 Gorton's schedule is daunting so we do want these materials as
5 soon as possible. I think what I just heard, though, I agree
6 with Mr. Weinberg that we need the tapes of Singer with the
7 agent as the subject of these notes. I think I heard the
8 government say they don't exist, these tapes. But if that's
9 true, then he must have been using a different phone. And if
09:53 10 he was using a different phone and it wasn't recorded, we'd
11 like to know that. Because otherwise they should have the tape
12 of this so-called call where he was allegedly told to lie.

13 THE COURT: Okay. So this is something new, but I'm
14 going to let you talk to the government about that. And I will
15 just say to the government I do find there's some exculpatory
16 information in the 302s pertaining to the U.S.C. witnesses as
17 well, so that should be checked and produced if you can at this
18 time. Okay?

19 All right. Anything else now? Mr. Weinberg, thanks
09:53 20 for appearing.

21 MR. WEINBERG: Thank you, Your Honor, for allowing me
22 to appear by phone. Have a nice weekend.

23 THE COURT: Sure. Thanks, everyone, for assembling so
24 quickly. So anything else?

25 MS. WRIGHT: No.

1 MR. KELLY: No, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Good luck, everyone.

3 - - - - -

4

5 CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

6 I, Kelly Mortellite, Registered Merit Reporter
7 and Certified Realtime Reporter, in and for the United States
8 District Court for the District of Massachusetts, do hereby
9 certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and correct
10 transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings held in
11 the above-entitled matter to the best of my skill and ability.

12 Dated this 1st day of March, 2020.

13

14 /s/ Kelly Mortellite

15

16 _____
17 Kelly Mortellite, RMR, CRR

18 Official Court Reporter

19

10:33 20

21

22

23

24

25